

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT  
(Sacramento)

----

In re A.R., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile  
Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

A.R.,

Defendant and Appellant.

C087473

(Super. Ct. No. JV138591 )

Appointed counsel for minor A.R. has filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and asks this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*); *In re Kevin S.* (2003) 113 Cal.App.4th 97, 99.) After reviewing the entire record, we affirm the judgment.

We provide the following brief description of the facts and procedural history of the case. (See *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 110, 124.)

A juvenile wardship petition filed by the Sacramento County District Attorney alleged the minor A.R. committed three acts of lewd and lascivious conduct upon a child under 14 (Pen. Code, § 288, subd. (a)). After a contested jurisdiction hearing, the juvenile court found the charges true. The court thereafter adjudged the minor a ward of the juvenile court and granted him probation in the family home under the supervision of the probation department, with six days of juvenile hall credit and 380 days of home supervision credit. The minor was ordered to pay a \$300 restitution fine (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 730.7), to make restitution to the victim in an amount to be determined, to participate in a sexual offender treatment program, and to avoid contact or communication with the victim.

The evidence at the jurisdiction hearing showed that on the night of December 29, 2016, the 13-year-old minor took his 4-year-old stepsister (visiting the minor's family over the holidays) into a bedroom, touched her breast and vagina, took her hand and had her touch his chest and penis, and ejaculated on her stomach.

Appointed counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and requests this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant was advised by counsel of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days elapsed, and we received no communication from defendant. Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

## DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

/s/

---

HOCH, J.

We concur:

/s/  
HULL, Acting P. J.

/s/  
MURRAY, J.